

by certified mail, return receipt requested.

(c) If a request for hearing contains identification of witnesses or documents not previously considered by the Director, the Director may make application to the assigned administrative law judge for an offer of proof from the physician, health care provider or claims representative for the purpose of discovery prior to hearing. If the offer of proof indicates injection of new issues or new material evidence not previously considered by the Director, the Director may request a remand order for purposes of reconsideration of the decision made pursuant to § 702.432 of these regulations.

(d) The parties may make application for the issuance of subpoenas upon a showing of good cause therefore to the administrative law judge.

(e) The administrative law judge shall issue a recommended decision after the termination of the hearing. The recommended decision shall contain appropriate findings, conclusions and a recommended order and be forwarded, together with the record of the hearing, to the Administrative Review Board for a final decision. The recommended decision shall be served upon all parties to the proceeding.

(f) Based upon a review of the record and the recommended decision of the administrative law judge, the Administrative Review Board shall issue a final decision.

[50 FR 404, Jan. 3, 1985, as amended at 55 FR 28606, July 12, 1990; 61 FR 19984, May 3, 1996]

§ 702.434 Judicial review.

(a) Any physician, health care provider or claims representative, after any final decision of the Administrative Review Board made after a hearing to which such person was a party, irrespective of the amount of controversy, may obtain a review of such decision by a civil action commenced within sixty (60) days after the mailing to him or her of notice of such decision, but the pendency of such review shall not operate as a stay upon the effect of such decision. Such action shall be brought in the Court of Appeals of the United States for the judicial circuit in which the plaintiff resides or has his or her principal place of business, or the

Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia pursuant to section 7(j)(4) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. 907(j)(4).

(b) As part of the Administrative Review Board answer, he or she shall file a certified copy of the transcript of the record of the hearing, including all evidence submitted in connection therewith.

(c) The findings of fact of the Administrative Review Board, if based on substantial evidence in the record as a whole, shall be conclusive.

[50 FR 405, Jan. 3, 1985, as amended at 55 FR 28606, July 12, 1990; 61 FR 19984, May 3, 1996]

§ 702.435 Effects of debarment.

(a) The Director shall give notice of the debarment of a physician, hospital, or provider of medical support services or supplies to:

- (1) All OWCP district offices;
- (2) The Health Care Financing Administration;
- (3) The State or Local authority responsible for licensing or certifying the debarred party;
- (4) The employers and authorized insurers under the Act by means of an annual bulletin sent to them by the Director; and
- (5) The general public by posting in the district office in the jurisdiction where the debarred party maintains a place of business.

If a claims representative is debarred, the Director shall give notice to those groups listed in paragraphs (a) (1), (3), (4), and (5) of this section.

(b) Notwithstanding any debarment under this subpart, the Director shall not refuse a claimant reimbursement for any otherwise reimbursable medical expense if the treatment, service or supply was rendered by debarred provider in an emergency situation. However, such claimant will be directed by the Director to select a duly qualified provider upon the earliest opportunity.

[50 FR 405, Jan. 3, 1985]

§ 702.436 Reinstatement.

(a) If a physician or health care provider has been debarred or pursuant to § 702.431(d) or if a claims representative has been debarred pursuant to § 702.131(c) (1) or (3) the person debarred will be automatically reinstated upon

notice to the Director that the conviction or exclusion has been reversed or withdrawn. However, such reinstatement will not preclude the Director from instituting debarment proceedings based upon the subject matter involved.

(b) A physician, health care provider or claims representative otherwise debarred by the Director may apply for reinstatement to participate in the program by application to the Director after three years from the date of entry of the order of exclusion. Such application for reinstatement shall be addressed to the Associate Director for the Longshore program, and shall contain a statement of the basis of the application along with any supporting documentation.

(c) The Director may further investigate the merits of the reinstatement application by requiring special reporting procedures from the applicant for a probationary period not to exceed six months to be monitored by the district office where the provider maintains a place of business.

(d) At the end of aforesaid probationary period, the Director may order full reinstatement of the physician, health care provider or claims representative if such reinstatement is clearly consistent with the program goal to protect itself against fraud and abuse and, further, if the physician, health care provider or claims representative has given reasonable assurances that the basis for the debarment will not be repeated.

[50 FR 405, Jan. 3, 1985]

HEARING LOSS CLAIMS

§ 702.441 Claims for loss of hearing.

(a) Claims for hearing loss pending on or filed after September 28, 1984 (the date of enactment of Pub. L. 98-426) shall be adjudicated with respect to the determination of the degree of hearing impairment in accordance with these regulations.

(b) An audiogram shall be presumptive evidence of the amount of hearing loss on the date administered if the following requirements are met:

(1) The audiogram was administered by a licensed or certified audiologist, by a physician certified by the Amer-

ican Board of Otolaryngology, or by a technician, under an audiologist's or physician's supervision, certified by the Council of Accreditation on Occupational Hearing Conservation, or by any other person considered qualified by a hearing conservation program authorized pursuant to 29 CFR 1910.95(g)(3) promulgated under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 667). Thus, either a professional or trained technician may conduct audiometric testing. However, to be acceptable under this subsection, a licensed or certified audiologist or otolaryngologist, as defined, must ultimately interpret and certify the results of the audiogram. The accompanying report must set forth the testing standards used and describe the method of evaluating the hearing loss as well as providing an evaluation of the reliability of the test results.

(2) The employee was provided the audiogram and a report thereon at the time it was administered or within thirty (30) days thereafter.

(3) No one produces a contrary audiogram of equal probative value (meaning one performed using the standards described herein) made at the same time. "Same time" means within thirty (30) days thereof where noise exposure continues or within six (6) months where exposure to excessive noise levels does not continue. Audiometric tests performed prior to the enactment of Public Law 98-426 will be considered presumptively valid if the employer complied with the procedures in this section for administering audiograms.

(c) In determining the amount of pre-employment hearing loss, an audiogram must be submitted which was performed prior to employment or within thirty (30) days of the date of the first employment-related noise exposure. Audiograms performed after December 27, 1984 must comply with the standards described in paragraph (d) of this section.

(d) In determining the loss of hearing under the Act, the evaluators shall use the criteria for measuring and calculating hearing impairment as published and modified from time-to-time by the American Medical Association